



## PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference DP2302	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/JP2003/007046	International filing date (day/month/year) 03 June 2003 (03.06.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05 June 2002 (05.06.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC E04G 3/00, 3/10		
Applicant DAIO PAPER CORPORATION		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.
- ☒ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 30 September 2003 (30.09.2003)	Date of completion of this report 15 April 2004 (15.04.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

## I. Basis of the report

## 1. With regard to the elements of the international application:\*

- ☐ the international application as originally filed
- ☒ the description:  
pages 1-16, as originally filed  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ the claims:  
pages 2-4, as originally filed  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand  
pages 1, 6-8, filed with the letter of 15 March 2004 (15.03.2004)
- ☒ the drawings:  
pages 1-9, as originally filed  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, as originally filed  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_

## 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language \_\_\_\_\_ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

## 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ the claims, Nos. 5
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig \_\_\_\_\_

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).\*\*

\* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).

\*\* Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement.****1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-4, 6-8	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-4, 6-8	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-4, 6-8	YES
	Claims		NO

**2. Citations and explanations**

Document 1: JP, 2002-81202, A (Taihei Dengyo Kaisha, Ltd.), 22 March, 2002

Document 2: JP, 8-54099, A (Nippon Steel Corp.), 27 February, 1996

Document 3: JP, 51-35524, A (Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries Co., Ltd.), 26 March, 1976

The inventions relating to claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 2 (page 2, right column, lines 34-38; Fig. 1). Document 1 (Par No. [0035]) describes delivering a material from a manhole, etc. Also it would be easy for a party skilled in the art to use the guide wheel described in document 2 in document 1.

The invention relating to claim 3 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1, document 2 and document 3 (page 3, upper left column, lines 9-12; Fig. 8). It would be easy for a party skilled in the art to use the bracket (26) described in document 3 in document 1.

The inventions relating to claims 7 and 8 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1, document 2 and document 3. It would be easy for a party skilled in the art to appropriately dispose a guide ring unit matching the shape of a structure for which scaffolding device is used, and to select a structure having the shape wherein the inside diameter gradually decreases toward the top portion as a subject for use of said scaffolding device.